UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

To: U.S. District Jud	lge / □ U.S. Magistrate Ju	dge Real	
From: Shea	runguis	•	Received: 2-10-12
Case No.: 11-00-735	11 R (JEME)	Case Title: Angel Malave	v Debt Resolution
Document Entitled:	Irder Denvin	- Detendants M&	tà
Upon the submission of	f the attached document(s)	, it was noted that the following discrep	ancies exist:
☐ Local Rule 11-3.1	Document not legible		
☐ Local Rule 11-3.8	Lacking name, address, phone, facsimile numbers and email address		
☐ Local Rule 11-4.1	No copy provided for jud	lge	
☐ Local Rule 19-1	Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitions of the complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitions of the complaint (10) Does or fictions of the complaint (10) Does or fiction (10) Does or fiction (10) Does or fiction (10) Does or fiction (10) Does o		
☐ Local Rule 15-1	Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover CLERK, U.S. DASTRICT COURT		
☐ Local Rule 11-6	Manager 1 A 6 1 . 26		
☐ Local Rule 11-8	Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no conies TEB 15 2012		
☐ Local Rule 7.1-1	The Continuation of interested factors and/or no copies		
☐ Local Rule 6.1		lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect	
☐ Local Rule 56-1	T I T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		
☐ Local Rule 56-2	-	putes of material fact lacking	BY DEPUTY
☐ Local Rule 7-19.1		ex parte application lacking	
☐ Local Rule 16-7		not signed by all counsel	,
☐ FRCvP Rule 5(d)	No proof of service attac		
	Case is designated for ele	ectronic filing	·
MOther: Rev 61	10-01 CACSC 12 C	designated tirelectron	uema
Note: Please refer t		bsite at www.cacd.uscourts.gov for le	
IT IS HEREBY ORDE		THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGI	£
	the Clerk. Counsel* is ad	e filing date is ORDERED to be the date lvised that any further failure to compl	
Date		U.S. District Judge / U.S. Mag	istrate Judge
	room, i ei i i .	I DEVELOPED IN CONTRACT	
		d REJECTED, and TORDERED re	
filed with the Cour		ously served with the attached documen	is that said documents have not been
med with the Cour	և 		The state of the s
Feb 15.	2012		
Date		U.S. District Judge / U.S. Mag	istrate Judge
* The term "counsel" a	s used herein also includes	s any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.	
COPY 1 -ORIGINAL-O	FFICE COPY 2 -JUDGE	COPY 3 -SIGNED & RETURNED TO FILER	COPY 4-FILER RECEIPT
			The same of the sa
	1		***.

Amy E. Clark Kleinpeter, State Bar Number 223491 Clark Kleinpeter Law 2 14431 Ventura Blvd., #750 RECEIVED & RETURNED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 3 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Telephone: (626) 507-8090 4 FEB | 5 2011 Fax: (626) 737-6030 5 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Attorney for Angel Malave 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Angel Malave, an individual, Case No. CV-11-7371 R (JEMx) 11 Plaintiff. 12 Hon. Manuel L. Real VS. 13 ORDER DENYING 14 **DEBT RESOLUTION DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO** CENTER, f/k/a COMPEL ARBITRATION AND 15 FREEDOM DEBT CENTER, a **GRANTING DEFENDANT'S** 16 California Corporation; MOTION TO DISMISS NATIONWIDE SUPPORT PLAINTIFF'S SECOND 17 SERVICES, INC., a California AMENDED COMPLAINT 18 corporation and Does 1 to 10, 19 Courtroom: 8 (second floor) Date: February 6, 2012 **Defendants** 20 Time: 10:00 a.m. 21 22 23 Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration and to Dismiss Plaintiff's ²4 ESecond Amended Complaint for Failure to State a Claim for Relief came before this Court for hearing at 10:00 a.m. on February 6, 2012 in Courtroom 8, Honorable Manuel L. Real presiding. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND GRANTING

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

After reviewing the filed documents and considering the party's oral arguments, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

Defendant's Motion to Compel Arbitration is denied. Defendants failed to compel arbitration of Plaintiff's claims within the contract's undisputed, express thirty (30) day time limit.

Also, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint for Failure to State a Claim for Relief is granted without prejudice. It is undisputed that Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint alleging violations of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act more than one year after the date of the occurrence of the violation. However, he may plausibly argue for equitable tolling of the Statute of Limitations if he pleads specific facts showing active concealment of Nationwide Support Services, Inc.'s role in the underlying transactions. Plaintiff has 10 days to amend his Complaint.

Manuel L. Real U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE